

THE RADIAL CURVATURE OF AN END THAT MAKES EIGENVALUES VANISH IN THE ESSENTIAL SPECTRUM II

HIRONORI KUMURA

ABSTRACT. Under the quadratic-decay-conditions of the radial curvatures of an end, we shall derive growth estimates of solutions to the eigenvalue equation and show the absence of eigenvalues.

1. INTRODUCTION

The Laplace-Beltrami operator Δ on a noncompact complete Riemannian manifold M is essentially self-adjoint on $C_0^\infty(M)$ and its self-adjoint extension to $L^2(M)$ has been studied by several authors from various points of view. Especially, the problem of the absence of eigenvalues was discussed in [2, 3, 4, 5, 6, 7, 9, 10, 13, 15, 17, 19]. The purpose of this paper is to clarify the relationship between the curvatures at infinity and the spectral structure of the Laplacian. In particular, this paper discusses growth estimates of solutions to the eigenvalue equation and show the absence of eigenvalues of the Laplacian.

Let us now look at the previous works which treat the case the curvature K tend to zero at infinity. They mainly studied the case that (M, g) is simply connected and complete and that K is nonpositive. We shall recall decay conditions on K which ensure the absence of positive eigenvalues. In this case, the earlier works mainly studied the case that $\dim M = 2$, because their arguments require faster than quadratic decay for K which, in dimension greater than two, would force (M, g) to be isometric with $\mathbf{R}^n$ (see Green and Wu [11]). That is why this problem for higher dimensions remains a challenge so far. For example, it was assumed in [4] that $\int_1^\infty r^{\beta_1} |K| dr < \infty$ and $\lim_{r \rightarrow \infty} r^{\beta_2} K = 0$, where $\beta_1 \geq 2$ and $\beta_2 \geq 3$ are constants. Roughly speaking, this curvature condition is $K = O(r^{-3-\varepsilon})$. In this paper, we shall treat manifolds of all dimensions under the assumption of some quadratic decay for the curvature, and prove the absence of positive eigenvalues. We note here that Escobar and Freire [10] studied the nonnegative curvature case. However, their arguments require *global* curvature conditions on M .

We shall state our results more precisely. Let (M, g) be an n -dimensional noncompact complete Riemannian manifold and U an open subset of M . We shall say that $M - U$ is an *end with radial coordinates* if and only if the boundary ∂U is compact, connected, and C^∞ and the outward normal exponential map $\exp_{\partial U}^\perp : N^+(\partial U) \rightarrow M - \bar{U}$ induces a diffeomorphism, where $N^+(\partial U) = \{v \in T(\partial U) \mid v \text{ is outward normal to } \partial U\}$. Note that U is not necessarily relatively compact. Let r denote the distance function from ∂U defined on the end $M - U$. We shall say that a 2-plane $\pi \subset T_x M$ ($x \in M - U$) is *radial* if π contains ∇r , and, by the *radial curvature*, we mean the restriction of the sectional curvature to all

the radial planes. In the sequel, the following notations will be used:

$$\begin{aligned}
B(s, t) &= \{x \in M - \overline{U} \mid s < r(x) < t\} \quad \text{for } 0 \leq s < t; \\
B(s, \infty) &= \{x \in M - \overline{U} \mid s < r(x)\} \quad \text{for } 0 \leq s < \infty; \\
S(t) &= \{x \in M - \overline{U} \mid r(x) = t\} \quad \text{for } 0 \leq t < \infty; \\
\sigma(-\Delta) &= \text{the spectrum of } -\Delta; \\
\sigma_p(-\Delta) &= \text{the set of all eigenvalues of } -\Delta; \\
\sigma_{\text{ess}}(-\Delta) &= \text{the essential spectrum of } -\Delta; \\
K_{\text{rad.}} &= \text{the radial curvature on } M - U.
\end{aligned}$$

Moreover, we denote the Riemannian measure of (M, g) by dv_g , and the induced measures from dv_g on each $S(t)$ ($t > 0$) simply by dA .

We shall consider the eigenvalue equation

$$\Delta u + \lambda u = 0 \quad \text{on } E = M - \overline{U}$$

and drive a growth estimate at infinity of solutions u , from which will follow the absence of eigenvalues;

Theorem 1.1. *Let (M, g) be an n -dimensional complete Riemannian manifold and U an open subset of M . Assume that $E := M - \overline{U}$ is an end with radial coordinates and set $r = \text{dist}(U, *)$. We assume that there exists constants $r_0 > 0$ such that the second fundamental forms ∇dr of the level hypersurfaces $\{S(r)\}_{r \geq r_0}$ satisfies*

$$\frac{a}{r}(g - dr \otimes dr) \leq \nabla dr \leq \frac{b}{r}(g - dr \otimes dr) \quad \text{on } B(r_0, \infty), \quad (*_1)$$

where $a > 0$ and $b > 0$ are constants satisfying

$$a \leq b \quad \text{and} \quad \frac{n+1}{n-1}a > b. \quad (*_2)$$

In addition, we assume that

$$\text{Ric}(\nabla r, \nabla r) \geq -(n-1)\frac{b_1(r)}{r} \quad \text{on } B(r_0, \infty), \quad (*_3)$$

where $b_1(t)$ is a positive-valued decreasing function of $t \in [r_0, \infty)$ satisfying $\lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} b_1(t) = 0$. Let $\lambda > 0$ be a constant and u a nontrivial solution to the equation

$$\Delta u + \lambda u = 0 \quad \text{on } B(r_0, \infty).$$

Then, for any

$$\gamma > \frac{n-1}{2}(b-a), \quad (*_4)$$

we have

$$\liminf_{t \rightarrow \infty} t^\gamma \int_{S(t)} \left\{ \left(\frac{\partial u}{\partial r} \right)^2 + |u|^2 \right\} dA \neq 0. \quad (1)$$

Theorem 1.2. *Let (M, g) be an n -dimensional complete Riemannian manifold and U an open subset of M . Assume that $E := M - \overline{U}$ is an end with radial coordinates and set $r = \text{dist}(U, *)$. We assume that there exists constants $r_0 > 0$ such that the second fundamental forms ∇dr of the level hypersurfaces $\{S(r)\}_{r \geq r_0}$ satisfies*

$$\frac{a}{r}(g - dr \otimes dr) \leq \nabla dr \leq \frac{b}{r}(g - dr \otimes dr) \quad \text{on } B(r_0, \infty), \quad (*_1)$$

where $a > 0$ and $b > 0$ are constants satisfying

$$a \leq b \quad \text{and} \quad \frac{n+1}{n-1}a > b. \quad (*_2)$$

In addition, we assume that

$$\text{Ric}(\nabla r, \nabla r) \geq -(n-1)\frac{b_1(r)}{r} \quad \text{on } B(r_0, \infty), \quad (*_3)$$

where $b_1(t)$ is a positive-valued decreasing function of $t \in [r_0, \infty)$ satisfying $\lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} b_1(t) = 0$. Furthermore, if we add the assumption

$$1 > \frac{n-1}{2}(b-a), \quad (*_5)$$

to ones above, then $\sigma(-\Delta) = [0, \infty)$ and $\sigma_p(-\Delta) = \emptyset$.

Theorem 1.1, 1.2 and comparison theorem in Riemannian geometry (Kasue [13]) yield the following:

Theorem 1.3. *Let (M, g) be an n -dimensional complete Riemannian manifold and U an open subset of M . Assume that $E := M - \overline{U}$ is an end with radial coordinates. We assume that there exists a constant $r_0 > 0$ such that*

$$\nabla dr|_{TS(r_0) \times TS(r_0)} \begin{cases} \geq \frac{a}{r_0} & \text{if } 0 < a < 1, \\ > 0 & \text{if } a \geq 1; \end{cases} \quad (*_6)$$

$$\nabla dr|_{TS(r_0) \times TS(r_0)} \leq \frac{b}{r_0} \quad \text{if } 0 < b < 1; \quad (*_7)$$

$$-\frac{b(b-1)}{r^2} \leq K_{\text{rad.}} \leq \frac{a(1-a)}{r^2} \quad \text{on } B(r_0, \infty), \quad (*_8)$$

where $a > 0$ and $b > 0$ are constants satisfying

$$a \leq b \quad \text{and} \quad \frac{n+1}{n-1}a > b. \quad (*_2)$$

Let $\lambda > 0$ be a constant and u a nontrivial solution to

$$\Delta u + \lambda u = 0 \quad \text{on } B(r_0, \infty).$$

Then, we have

$$\liminf_{t \rightarrow \infty} t^\gamma \int_{S(t)} \left\{ \left(\frac{\partial u}{\partial r} \right)^2 + |u|^2 \right\} dA \neq 0 \quad \text{for any } \gamma > \frac{n-1}{2}(b-a).$$

Theorem 1.4. *Let (M, g) be an n -dimensional complete Riemannian manifold and U an open subset of M . Assume that $E := M - \overline{U}$ is an end with radial coordinates. We assume that there exists a constant $r_0 > 0$ such that*

$$\nabla dr|_{TS(r_0) \times TS(r_0)} \begin{cases} \geq \frac{a}{r_0} & \text{if } 0 < a < 1, \\ > 0 & \text{if } a \geq 1; \end{cases} \quad (*_6)$$

$$\nabla dr|_{TS(r_0) \times TS(r_0)} \leq \frac{b}{r_0} \quad \text{if } 0 < b < 1; \quad (*_7)$$

$$-\frac{b(b-1)}{r^2} \leq K_{\text{rad.}} \leq \frac{a(1-a)}{r^2} \quad \text{on } B(r_0, \infty), \quad (*_8)$$

where $a > 0$ and $b > 0$ are constants satisfying

$$a \leq b \quad \text{and} \quad \frac{n+1}{n-1}a > b. \quad (*_2)$$

Furthermore, if we add the assumption

$$1 > \frac{n-1}{2}(b-a), \quad (*_5)$$

to ones above, then $\sigma(-\Delta) = [0, \infty)$ and $\sigma_p(-\Delta) = \emptyset$.

In Theorem 1.3 and 1.4, note that we do not assume an explicit upper bound of $\nabla dr|_{TS(r_0) \times TS(r_0)}$ if $b \geq 1$.

Our method is a modification of solutions of Koto [14], Eidus [9], Roze [21], and Mochizuki [19] to the analogous problem for the Schrödinger equation on Euclidian space.

The author would like to express his gratitude to Professor Minoru Murata. He kindly informed the author of several facts about the analogous results for the Schrödinger equation on Euclidian space.

2. THE SECOND FUNDAMENTAL FORM AND RADIAL CURVATURE

In this section, we shall confirm our geometric situation. On an end with radial coordinates, the second fundamental forms ∇dr of the level hypersurfaces $\{S(r)\}_{r \geq 0}$ describes the metric growth of the surfaces $\{S(r)\}_{r \geq 0}$ and the radial curvatures controls the second fundamental forms ∇dr ; the comparison theorem in Riemannian geometry (Kasue [13]) yields the following propositions:

Proposition 2.1. *Let (M, g) be an n -dimensional complete Riemannian manifold and U an open subset of M . We assume that $E := M - U$ has radial coordinates. Let $r_0 > 0$ and α be constants. We assume that*

$$\alpha \in (0, 1).$$

Then, the following holds:

(1) *If*

$$\begin{cases} \nabla dr \geq \frac{\alpha}{r_0} (g - dr \otimes dr) & \text{on } S(r_0), \\ K_{\text{rad.}} \leq \frac{\alpha(1-\alpha)}{r^2} & \text{on } B(r_0, \infty), \end{cases}$$

then we have

$$\nabla dr \geq \frac{\alpha}{r} (g - dr \otimes dr) \quad \text{on } B(r_0, \infty).$$

(2) *If*

$$\begin{cases} \nabla dr \leq \frac{\alpha}{r_0} (g - dr \otimes dr) & \text{on } S(r_0), \\ K_{\text{rad.}} \geq \frac{\alpha(1-\alpha)}{r^2} & \text{on } B(r_0, \infty), \end{cases}$$

then we have

$$\nabla dr \leq \frac{\alpha}{r} (g - dr \otimes dr) \quad \text{on } B(r_0, \infty).$$

Proposition 2.2. *Let (M, g) be an n -dimensional complete Riemannian manifold and U an open subset of M . We assume that $E := M - U$ has radial coordinates. Let $r_0 > 0$, α , and $\beta > 0$ be constants. We assume that*

$$\alpha \geq 1.$$

Then, the following holds:

(1) *If*

$$\begin{cases} \nabla dr \geq \beta (g - dr \otimes dr) & \text{on } S(r_0), \\ K_{\text{rad.}} \leq -\frac{\alpha(\alpha-1)}{r^2} & \text{on } B(r_0, \infty), \end{cases}$$

then we have

$$\nabla dr \geq \frac{\alpha}{r - r_0 + \frac{\alpha}{\beta}} (g - dr \otimes dr) \quad \text{on } B(r_0, \infty).$$

(2) *If*

$$\begin{cases} \nabla dr \leq \beta (g - dr \otimes dr) & \text{on } S(r_0), \\ K_{\text{rad.}} \geq -\frac{\alpha(\alpha-1)}{r^2} & \text{on } B(r_0, \infty), \end{cases}$$

then we have

$$\nabla dr \leq \frac{\alpha}{r - r_0 + \frac{\alpha}{\beta}} (g - dr \otimes dr) \quad \text{on } B(r_0, \infty).$$

For the proof of Proposition 2.1 and 2.2, see Kasue [13].

3. ANALYTIC PROPOSITIONS

In this section, we shall prepare some analytic propositions toward the proof of Theorem 1.1.

Let (M, g) be an n -dimensional complete Riemannian manifold and U an open subset of M . Assume that $E := M - \overline{U}$ is an end with radial coordinates. We shall consider the eigenvalue equation

$$\Delta u + \lambda u = 0 \quad \text{on } E := M - \overline{U},$$

where $\lambda > 0$ is a constant.

Let $\rho(r)$ be a C^∞ function of $r \in [r_0, \infty)$, and put

$$v(x) = \exp(\rho(r(x))) u(x) \quad \text{for } x \in E.$$

Then it follows that v satisfies on $B(r_0, \infty)$ the equation

$$\begin{aligned} \Delta v - 2\rho'(r) \frac{\partial v}{\partial r} + qv &= 0, \\ q &= |\nabla \rho(r)|^2 - \Delta \rho(r) + \lambda \\ &= |\rho'(r)|^2 - \rho''(r) - \rho'(r) \Delta r + \lambda. \end{aligned}$$

As is mentioned in section 1, we denote by dA the measures on each level surface $S(t)$ ($t > 0$) induced from the Riemannian measure dv_g on (M, g) .

Proposition 3.1. For any $\psi \in C^\infty(M - \overline{U})$ and $r_0 \leq s < t$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} & \int_{B(s,t)} \{|\nabla v|^2 - q|v|^2\} \psi dv_g \\ &= \left(\int_{S(t)} - \int_{S(s)} \right) \left(\frac{\partial v}{\partial r} \right) \psi v dA - \int_{B(s,t)} \langle \nabla \psi + 2\psi \rho'(r) \nabla r, \nabla v \rangle v dv_g. \end{aligned}$$

Proposition 3.2. Let $\nabla r, X_1, X_2, \dots, X_{n-1}$ be an orthonormal base for the tangent space $T_x M$ at each point $x \in M - \overline{U}$. Then, for any real numbers γ, ε , and $0 \leq s < t$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} & \int_{S(t)} r^\gamma \left\{ \left(\frac{\partial v}{\partial r} \right)^2 + \frac{1}{2} q |v|^2 - \frac{1}{2} |\nabla v|^2 + \frac{\gamma - \varepsilon}{2r} \frac{\partial v}{\partial r} v \right\} dA \\ &+ \int_{S(s)} r^\gamma \left\{ \frac{1}{2} |\nabla v|^2 - \frac{1}{2} q |v|^2 - \left(\frac{\partial v}{\partial r} \right)^2 - \frac{\gamma - \varepsilon}{2r} \frac{\partial v}{\partial r} v \right\} dA \\ &= \int_{B(s,t)} r^{\gamma-1} \left\{ r(\nabla dr)(\nabla v, \nabla v) - \frac{1}{2}(r\Delta r + \varepsilon) \sum_{i=1}^{n-1} (dv(X_i))^2 \right\} dv_g \\ &+ \int_{B(s,t)} r^{\gamma-1} \left\{ \gamma - \frac{1}{2}(r\Delta r + \varepsilon) + 2r\rho'(r) \right\} \left(\frac{\partial v}{\partial r} \right)^2 dv_g \\ &+ \frac{1}{2} \int_{B(s,t)} r^{\gamma-1} \left\{ r \left(\frac{\partial q}{\partial r} \right) + q(r\Delta r + \varepsilon) \right\} |v|^2 dv_g \\ &+ \frac{\gamma - \varepsilon}{2} \int_{B(s,t)} r^{\gamma-1} \left\{ \frac{\gamma - 1}{r} + 2\rho'(r) \right\} \frac{\partial v}{\partial r} v dv_g. \end{aligned}$$

Lemma 3.1. For any $\beta \in \mathbf{R}$, we have

$$\left(\int_{S(t)} - \int_{S(s)} \right) r^\beta |v|^2 dA = \int_{B(s,t)} r^\beta \left\{ \left(\Delta r + \frac{\beta}{r} \right) |v|^2 + 2v \frac{\partial v}{\partial r} \right\} dv_g. \quad (2)$$

Lemma 3.2. For any $m \in \mathbf{R}$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} & \int_{B(x,\infty)} r^{1-2m} \{|\nabla v|^2 - q|v|^2\} dv_g \\ &= -\frac{1}{2} \frac{d}{dx} \left(x^{1-2m} \int_{S(x)} |v|^2 dA \right) - \frac{1}{2} \int_{S(x)} r^{-2m} \{2m - 1 - r\Delta r\} |v|^2 dA \\ &- \int_{B(x,\infty)} r^{-2m} \left(\frac{\partial v}{\partial r} \right) v dv_g, \end{aligned}$$

Proposition 3.1 and 3.2 are obtained by setting $c = 0$ in [18, Proposition 3.1 and 3.3], respectively; Lemma 3.1 also follows from [18, Lemma 3.2]; Lemma 3.2 is also got by putting $c = 0$ in [18, equations (32) and (33)].

4. FASTER THAN POLYNOMIAL DECAY

Let (M, g) be an n -dimensional noncompact complete Riemannian manifold and U an open subset of M . We assume that $E := M - \overline{U}$ is an end with radial coordinates. We denote $r = \text{dist}(U, *)$ on E . Let us set

$$\tilde{g} = g - dr \otimes dr$$

and assume that there exists $r_0 > 0$ such that

$$\frac{a}{r} \tilde{g} \leq \nabla dr \leq \frac{b}{r} \tilde{g} \quad \text{on } B(r_0, \infty), \quad (*_1)$$

where a and b are positive constants satisfying

$$a \leq b \quad \text{and} \quad \frac{n+1}{n-1} a > b. \quad (*_2)$$

We also assume that

$$\text{Ric}(\nabla r, \nabla r) \geq -(n-1) \frac{b_1(r)}{r} \quad \text{on } B(r_0, \infty), \quad (*_3)$$

where $b_1(t)$ is a positive-valued decreasing function of $t \in [r_0, \infty)$ satisfying $\lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} b_1(t) = 0$.

In the sequel, we shall often use the following notation for simplicity:

$$\hat{a} = (n-1)a; \quad \hat{b} = (n-1)b; \quad \hat{b}_1(r) = (n-1)b_1(r).$$

Proposition 4.1. *Assume that there exist constants $r_0 > 0$, a , and b such that $(*_1)$, $(*_2)$, and $(*_3)$ hold. Let $\lambda > 0$ be a constant and u a solution to*

$$\Delta u + \lambda u = 0 \quad \text{on } B(r_0, \infty).$$

Moreover, let

$$\gamma > \frac{n-1}{2}(b-a) \quad (*_4)$$

be a constant and assume that u satisfies the condition:

$$\liminf_{t \rightarrow \infty} t^\gamma \int_{S(t)} \left\{ \left(\frac{\partial u}{\partial r} \right)^2 + |u|^2 \right\} dA = 0. \quad (3)$$

Then, we have for any $m > 0$

$$\int_{B(r_0, \infty)} r^m \{ |u|^2 + |\nabla u|^2 \} dv_g < \infty. \quad (4)$$

Proof. We shall combine Proposition 3.2 and Lemma 3.1; put $\rho(r) = 0$ in Proposition 3.2; put $\beta = \gamma - 1$ in Lemma 3.1 and multiply (2) by a positive constant α . Then $v = u$ and $q = \lambda$ and

$$\begin{aligned} & \int_{S(t)} r^\gamma \left\{ \left(\frac{\partial u}{\partial r} \right)^2 + \frac{1}{2} \lambda |u|^2 + \frac{\gamma - \varepsilon}{2r} \frac{\partial u}{\partial r} u + \frac{\alpha}{r} |u|^2 \right\} dA \\ & + \int_{S(s)} r^\gamma \left\{ \frac{1}{2} |\nabla u|^2 - \frac{1}{2} \lambda |u|^2 - \left(\frac{\partial u}{\partial r} \right)^2 - \frac{\gamma - \varepsilon}{2r} \frac{\partial u}{\partial r} u - \frac{\alpha}{r} |u|^2 \right\} dA \\ & \geq \int_{B(s, t)} r^{\gamma-1} \left\{ r(\nabla dr)(\nabla u, \nabla u) - \frac{1}{2}(r\Delta r + \varepsilon) \sum_{i=1}^{n-1} (du(X_i))^2 \right\} dv_g \\ & + \int_{B(s, t)} r^{\gamma-1} \left\{ \gamma - \frac{1}{2}(r\Delta r + \varepsilon) \right\} \left(\frac{\partial u}{\partial r} \right)^2 dv_g \\ & + \frac{\lambda}{2} \int_{B(s, t)} r^{\gamma-1} \left\{ r\Delta r + \varepsilon + \frac{2\alpha}{\lambda} \left(\Delta r + \frac{\gamma-1}{r} \right) \right\} |u|^2 dv_g \\ & + \int_{B(s, t)} r^{\gamma-1} \left\{ \frac{(\gamma - \varepsilon)(\gamma - 1)}{2r} + 2\alpha \right\} \frac{\partial u}{\partial r} u dv_g. \end{aligned} \quad (5)$$

Now, our assumptions $2\gamma > (\widehat{b} - \widehat{a})$ and $\frac{n+1}{n-1}a > b$ respectively imply that $2\gamma - \widehat{b} + \widehat{a} > 0$ and $\widehat{a} > \widehat{b} - 2a$, and hence, we can choose a constant ε so that

$$\begin{aligned}\widehat{a} &> -\varepsilon > \widehat{b} - 2a \ (\geq 0), \\ 2\gamma - \widehat{b} - \varepsilon &> 0.\end{aligned}\tag{6}$$

Then, we see that for sufficiently large r

$$\begin{aligned}r(\nabla dr)(\nabla u, \nabla u) - \frac{1}{2}(r\Delta r + \varepsilon) \sum_{i=1}^{n-1} (du(X_i))^2 \\ \geq \frac{1}{2}\{2a - \widehat{b} - \varepsilon\} \sum_{i=1}^{n-1} (du(X_i))^2 \geq 0; \\ \gamma - \frac{1}{2}(r\Delta r + \varepsilon) \geq \frac{1}{2}\{2\gamma - \widehat{b} - \varepsilon\} > 0; \\ r\Delta r + \varepsilon \geq \widehat{a} + \varepsilon > 0.\end{aligned}$$

Therefore, bearing Shwarz inequality in mind, if we take $\alpha > 0$ sufficiently small and if $r_1 > 0$ sufficiently large, we see that for any $t > s \geq r_1$ the right hand side of (5) is bounded from below by

$$c_1 \int_{B(s,t)} r^{\gamma-1} \{|\nabla u|^2 + |u|^2\} dv_g,$$

where $c_1 = c_1(a, b, n, \lambda, \gamma, \varepsilon, \alpha) > 0$ is a constant depending only on $a, b, n, \lambda, \gamma, \varepsilon$, and α ; that is,

$$\begin{aligned}&\int_{S(t)} r^\gamma \left\{ \left(\frac{\partial u}{\partial r} \right)^2 + \frac{1}{2}\lambda|u|^2 + \frac{\gamma - \varepsilon}{2r} \frac{\partial u}{\partial r} u + \frac{\alpha}{r}|u|^2 \right\} dA \\ &+ \int_{S(s)} r^\gamma \left\{ \frac{1}{2}|\nabla u|^2 - \frac{1}{2}\lambda|u|^2 - \left(\frac{\partial u}{\partial r} \right)^2 - \frac{\gamma - \varepsilon}{2r} \frac{\partial u}{\partial r} u - \frac{\alpha}{r}|u|^2 \right\} dA \\ &\geq c_1 \int_{B(s,t)} r^{\gamma-1} \{|\nabla u|^2 + |u|^2\} dv_g.\end{aligned}\tag{7}$$

Besides, by Shwarz inequality, for $r \geq r_2 := \max\{r_1, \frac{(\gamma - \varepsilon)^2}{4\alpha}\}$,

$$-\left(\frac{\partial u}{\partial r} \right)^2 - \frac{\gamma - \varepsilon}{2r} \frac{\partial u}{\partial r} u - \frac{\alpha}{r}|u|^2 \leq -\frac{1}{r} \left\{ \alpha - \frac{(\gamma - \varepsilon)^2}{4r} \right\} |u|^2 \leq 0,$$

and moreover, (3) implies that there exists a divergent sequence $\{t_i\}_{i=1}^\infty$ such that

$$\lim_{i \rightarrow \infty} \int_{S(t_i)} r^\gamma \left\{ \left(\frac{\partial u}{\partial r} \right)^2 + \frac{1}{2}\lambda|u|^2 + \frac{\gamma - \varepsilon}{2r} \frac{\partial u}{\partial r} u + \frac{\alpha}{r}|u|^2 \right\} dA = 0.$$

Hence, substituting $t = t_i$ in (7) and letting $i \rightarrow \infty$, we get, for $s \geq r_2$,

$$\frac{1}{2} \int_{S(s)} r^\gamma \{|\nabla u|^2 - \lambda|u|^2\} dA \geq c_1 \int_{B(s, \infty)} r^{\gamma-1} \{|\nabla u|^2 + |u|^2\} dv_g.$$

Integrating this inequality with respect to s over $[t, t_1]$ ($r_2 \leq t < t_1$), we have

$$\begin{aligned} & 2c_1 \int_t^{t_1} ds \int_{B(s, \infty)} r^{\gamma-1} \{|\nabla u|^2 + |u|^2\} dv_g \\ & \leq \int_{B(t, t_1)} r^\gamma \{|\nabla u|^2 - q|u|^2\} dv_g \\ & = \left(\int_{S(t_1)} - \int_{S(t)} \right) r^\gamma \frac{\partial u}{\partial r} u dA - \gamma \int_{B(t, t_1)} r^{\gamma-1} \frac{\partial u}{\partial r} u dv_g. \end{aligned}$$

In the last line, we have used the equation in Proposition 3.1 with $\rho(r) = 0$ and $\psi = r^\gamma$. Since our assumption (3) implies that

$$\liminf_{t_1 \rightarrow \infty} \int_{S(t_1)} r^\gamma \frac{\partial u}{\partial r} u dA = 0,$$

letting $t_1 \rightarrow \infty$ and using Fubini's theorem, we have

$$\begin{aligned} & 2c_1 \int_t^\infty ds \int_{B(s, \infty)} r^{\gamma-1} \{|\nabla u|^2 + |u|^2\} dv_g \\ & = 2c_1 \int_{B(t, \infty)} (r-t)r^{\gamma-1} \{|\nabla u|^2 + |u|^2\} dv_g \\ & \leq \int_{S(t)} r^\gamma \left\{ \left(\frac{\partial u}{\partial r} \right)^2 + |u|^2 \right\} dA + \gamma \int_{B(t, \infty)} r^{\gamma-1} \left\{ \left(\frac{\partial u}{\partial r} \right)^2 + |u|^2 \right\} dv_g < \infty, \quad (8) \end{aligned}$$

where the right hand side of this inequality is finite by (7). Hence we see that the desired assertion (4) holds for $m = \gamma$.

Once again, integrating this inequality (8) with respect to t over $[t_1, \infty)$ ($t_1 \geq r_1$) and using Fubini's theorem, we get

$$\begin{aligned} & 2c_1 \int_{B(t, \infty)} (r-t)^2 r^{\gamma-1} \{|\nabla u|^2 + |u|^2\} dv_g \\ & \leq \int_{B(t, \infty)} r^\gamma \left\{ \left(\frac{\partial u}{\partial r} \right)^2 + |u|^2 \right\} dv_g + \gamma \int_{B(t, \infty)} (r-t)r^{\gamma-1} \left\{ \left(\frac{\partial u}{\partial r} \right)^2 + |u|^2 \right\} dv_g \\ & < \infty, \end{aligned}$$

where the right hand side of this inequality is finite by (8). Thus, we see that the desired assertion (4) holds for $m = \gamma + 1$. Repeating the integration with respect to t shows that the assertion (4) is valid for $m = \gamma + 2, \gamma + 3, \dots$, therefore, for any $m > 0$. $\square$

5. EXPONENTIAL DECAY

Proposition 5.1. *Under the assumption of Proposition 4.1, we have*

$$\int_{B(r_0, \infty)} e^{\eta r} \{ |u|^2 + |\nabla u|^2 \} dv_g < \infty \quad \text{for any } 0 < \eta < \eta_1(a, b, n)\sqrt{\lambda},$$

where we set

$$\eta_1(a, b, n) = \begin{cases} 2\sqrt{\frac{(n+1)a - \widehat{b}}{2 - (n+1)a + \widehat{b}}} & \text{if } 2 - (n+1)a + \widehat{b} > 0, \\ \infty & \text{if } 2 - (n+1)a + \widehat{b} \leq 0. \end{cases}$$

Proof. In Proposition 3.2, let

$$\begin{aligned}\rho(r) &= m \log r \quad (m \geq \widehat{b}); \\ \gamma &= 1; \\ \varepsilon &= -(\widehat{b} - 2a).\end{aligned}$$

Then,

$$\begin{aligned}v &= r^m u; \\ q &= \frac{m^2}{r^2} + \frac{m}{r^2} - \frac{m}{r} \Delta r + \lambda \geq \lambda + \frac{m^2}{r^2} \left(1 - \frac{\widehat{b} - 1}{m}\right) > 0; \\ r \frac{\partial q}{\partial r} &= -\frac{2m^2}{r^2} - \frac{2m}{r^2} + \frac{m}{r} \Delta r - m \frac{\partial(\Delta r)}{\partial r}; \\ -\frac{\partial(\Delta r)}{\partial r} &= |\nabla dr|^2 + \text{Ric}(\nabla r, \nabla r) \geq \frac{\widehat{a}a}{r^2} - \frac{\widehat{b}_1(r)}{r}; \\ r \Delta r + \varepsilon &\geq \widehat{a} + \varepsilon,\end{aligned} \tag{*9}$$

where we have used the identity $-\frac{\partial(\Delta r)}{\partial r} = |\nabla dr|^2 + \text{Ric}(\nabla r, \nabla r)$ (see [17], Proposition 2.3). Hence, we have

$$\begin{aligned}& r \frac{\partial q}{\partial r} + q(r \Delta r + \varepsilon) \\ & \geq -\frac{2m^2}{r^2} - \frac{2m}{r^2} + \frac{m\widehat{a}}{r^2} + \frac{m}{r^2} \widehat{a}a - \frac{m}{r} \widehat{b}_1(r) + \left(\lambda + \frac{m^2}{r^2} + \frac{m}{r^2} - \frac{m\widehat{b}}{r^2}\right) (\widehat{a} + \varepsilon) \\ & = (\widehat{a} + \varepsilon) \lambda - \widehat{b}_1(r) \frac{m}{r} - c_2 \frac{m}{r^2} - c_3 \frac{m^2}{r^2},\end{aligned}$$

where we set

$$\begin{aligned}c_2 &= 2 - \widehat{a}(1 + a) + (\widehat{b} - 1)(\widehat{a} + \varepsilon); \\ c_3 &= 2 - \widehat{a} - \varepsilon.\end{aligned} \tag{9}$$

Note that on the Euclidean end $\mathbf{R}^n - B_{\mathbf{R}^n}(0, 1)$, $a = b = 1$, and hence, $c_2 = c_3 = 0$.

Besides,

$$\widehat{a} + \varepsilon = \widehat{a} + 2a - \widehat{b} = (n - 1) \left\{ \frac{n + 1}{n - 1} a - b \right\} > 0; \tag{10}$$

$$\begin{aligned}r(\nabla dr)(\nabla v, \nabla v) - \frac{1}{2}(r \Delta r + \varepsilon) \sum_{i=1}^{n-1} (dv(X_i))^2 \\ \geq \frac{1}{2} (2a - \widehat{b} - \varepsilon) \sum_{i=1}^{n-1} (dv(X_i))^2 = 0;\end{aligned}$$

$$1 - \frac{1}{2}(r \Delta r + \varepsilon) + 2m \geq 1 - \frac{1}{2}(\widehat{b} + \varepsilon) + 2m = 2m + 1 - a > 0$$

for $m > m_0 := \frac{a-1}{2}$. Hence, we have

$$\begin{aligned}
& \int_{S(t)} r \left\{ \left(\frac{\partial v}{\partial r} \right)^2 + \frac{1}{2} q |v|^2 + \frac{1-\varepsilon}{2r} \frac{\partial v}{\partial r} v \right\} dA + \frac{1}{2} \int_{S(s)} r \{ |\nabla v|^2 - q |v|^2 \} dA \\
& - \int_{S(s)} r \left\{ \left(\frac{\partial v}{\partial r} \right)^2 + \frac{1-\varepsilon}{2r} \frac{\partial v}{\partial r} v \right\} dA \\
& \geq \frac{1}{2} \int_{B(s,t)} \left\{ (\hat{a} + \varepsilon) \lambda - \hat{b}_1(r) \frac{m}{r} - \left(c_3 + \frac{c_2}{m} \right) \frac{m^2}{r^2} \right\} |v|^2 dv_g \\
& + (2m+1-a) \int_{B(s,t)} \left(\frac{\partial v}{\partial r} \right)^2 dv_g + (1-\varepsilon)m \int_{B(s,t)} \frac{1}{r} \frac{\partial v}{\partial r} v dv_g \quad (11)
\end{aligned}$$

for $m > m_0$. On the other hand, Lemma 3.1 with $\beta = 0$ yields that

$$\begin{aligned}
\left(\int_{S(t)} - \int_{S(s)} \right) |v|^2 dA &= \int_{B(s,t)} \left\{ (\Delta r) |v|^2 + 2v \frac{\partial v}{\partial r} \right\} dv_g \\
&\geq \int_{B(s,t)} \left\{ \frac{\hat{a}}{r} |v|^2 + 2v \frac{\partial v}{\partial r} \right\} dv_g \quad (12)
\end{aligned}$$

Multiplying the inequality (12) by a constant $\alpha > 0$ and addition of it to (11) make

$$\begin{aligned}
& \int_{S(t)} r \left\{ \left(\frac{\partial v}{\partial r} \right)^2 + \frac{1}{2} q |v|^2 + \frac{1-\varepsilon}{2r} \frac{\partial v}{\partial r} v + \frac{\alpha}{r} |v|^2 \right\} dA \\
& + \frac{1}{2} \int_{S(s)} r \{ |\nabla v|^2 - q |v|^2 \} dA - \int_{S(s)} r \left\{ \left(\frac{\partial v}{\partial r} \right)^2 + \frac{1-\varepsilon}{2r} \frac{\partial v}{\partial r} v + \frac{\alpha}{r} |v|^2 \right\} dA \\
& \geq \frac{1}{2} \int_{B(s,t)} \left\{ (\hat{a} + \varepsilon) \lambda + \frac{2\alpha \hat{a}}{r} - \hat{b}_1(r) \frac{m}{r} - \left(c_3 + \frac{c_2}{m} \right) \frac{m^2}{r^2} \right\} |v|^2 dv_g \\
& + (2m+1-a) \int_{B(s,t)} \left(\frac{\partial v}{\partial r} \right)^2 dv_g + \int_{B(s,t)} \left\{ 2\alpha + \frac{(1-\varepsilon)m}{r} \right\} \frac{\partial v}{\partial r} v dv_g \quad (13)
\end{aligned}$$

for $m \geq m_0$. Substituting the inequality

$$\begin{aligned}
& \left\{ 2\alpha + \frac{(1-\varepsilon)m}{r} \right\} \frac{\partial v}{\partial r} v \\
& \geq - (2m+1-a) \left(\frac{\partial v}{\partial r} \right)^2 - \frac{1}{4(2m+1-a)} \left\{ 2\alpha + \frac{(1-\varepsilon)m}{r} \right\}^2 |v|^2,
\end{aligned}$$

into (13), we get

$$\begin{aligned}
& \int_{S(t)} r \left\{ \left(\frac{\partial v}{\partial r} \right)^2 + \frac{1}{2} q |v|^2 + \frac{1-\varepsilon}{2r} \frac{\partial v}{\partial r} v + \frac{\alpha}{r} |v|^2 \right\} dA \\
& + \frac{1}{2} \int_{S(s)} r \{ |\nabla v|^2 - q |v|^2 \} dA - \int_{S(s)} r \left\{ \left(\frac{\partial v}{\partial r} \right)^2 + \frac{1-\varepsilon}{2r} \frac{\partial v}{\partial r} v + \frac{\alpha}{r} |v|^2 \right\} dA \\
& \geq \int_{B(s,t)} H(\alpha, r, m) |v|^2 dv_g, \quad (14)
\end{aligned}$$

where we set

$$\begin{aligned}
& H(\alpha, r, m) \\
&= (\widehat{a} + \varepsilon)\lambda - \frac{1}{4(2m+1-a)} \left\{ 2\alpha + \frac{(1-\varepsilon)m}{r} \right\}^2 + \frac{2\alpha\widehat{a}}{r} - \widehat{b}_1(r)\frac{m}{r} - \left(c_3 + \frac{c_2}{m}\right) \frac{m^2}{r^2} \\
&= (\widehat{a} + \varepsilon)\lambda - \frac{\alpha^2}{2m+1-a} + \left\{ 2\widehat{a} - \frac{1-\varepsilon}{2+\frac{1-a}{m}} \right\} \frac{\alpha}{r} - \widehat{b}_1(r)\frac{m}{r} - \left(c_3 + \frac{c_4}{m}\right) \frac{m^2}{r^2}
\end{aligned}$$

and

$$c_4 = c_2 + \frac{(1-\varepsilon)^2}{4(2+\frac{1-a}{m})} \leq c_2 + \frac{(1-\varepsilon)^2}{8}$$

Therefore, for any $\theta \in (0, 1)$, if we take $\alpha_1 = \alpha_1(\lambda, a, b, n, r_0, \theta) > 0$ sufficiently small, then for $0 < \alpha < \alpha_1$, the right hand side of (14) is bounded from below by

$$\int_{B(s,t)} \left\{ (1-\theta)(\widehat{a} + \varepsilon)\lambda - \widehat{b}_1(r)\frac{m}{r} - \left(c_3 + \frac{c_4}{m}\right) \frac{m^2}{r^2} \right\} |v|^2 dv_g.$$

Our taking $\lim_{r \rightarrow \infty} q = \lambda$ into account, Proposition 4.1 implies that $|\nabla v|$ and v are in $L^2(B(r_0, \infty), dv_g)$, and hence,

$$\liminf_{t \rightarrow \infty} \int_{S(t)} r \left\{ \left(\frac{\partial v}{\partial r} \right)^2 + \frac{1-\varepsilon}{2r} \frac{\partial v}{\partial r} v + \frac{1}{2} q |v|^2 + \frac{\alpha}{r} |v|^2 \right\} dA = 0.$$

Therefore, substituting appropriate divergent sequence $\{t_i\}$ for t in (14), and letting $t_i \rightarrow \infty$, we get

$$\begin{aligned}
& \int_{S(s)} r \{ |\nabla v|^2 - q |v|^2 \} dA - 2 \int_{S(s)} r \left\{ \left(\frac{\partial v}{\partial r} \right)^2 + \frac{1-\varepsilon}{2r} \frac{\partial v}{\partial r} v + \frac{\alpha}{r} |v|^2 \right\} dA \\
& \geq 2 \int_{B(s, \infty)} \left\{ (1-\theta)(\widehat{a} + \varepsilon)\lambda - \widehat{b}_1(r)\frac{m}{r} - \left(c_3 + \frac{c_4}{m}\right) \frac{m^2}{r^2} \right\} |v|^2 dv_g. \tag{15}
\end{aligned}$$

If our end E equals a Euclidean end $\mathbf{R}^n - B_{\mathbf{R}^n}(0, r_1)$, then $c_3 = 0$. However, in our general situation, the sign of this constant c_3 may be negative. Hence, we shall set

$$\bar{c}_3 = \max\{c_3, \theta\}; \quad \bar{c}_4 = \max\{c_4, 1\}. \tag{16}$$

Then, for $m \geq m_1(c_3, c_4, \theta)$ ($> \max\{\widehat{b} + 1, m_0\}$),

$$\bar{c}_3 + \frac{\bar{c}_4}{m} \leq (1 + \theta)\bar{c}_3$$

Multiplying both side of (15) by s^{-2m} and integrating it with respect to s over $[x, \infty)$ ($x > r_0$), we have

$$\begin{aligned}
& \int_{B(x, \infty)} r^{1-2m} \{ |\nabla v|^2 - q|v|^2 \} dv_g \\
& - 2 \int_{B(x, \infty)} r^{1-2m} \left\{ \left(\frac{\partial v}{\partial r} \right)^2 + \frac{1-\varepsilon}{2r} \frac{\partial v}{\partial r} v + \frac{\alpha}{r} |v|^2 \right\} dv_g \\
& \geq 2 \int_x^\infty s^{-2m} ds \int_{B(s, \infty)} \left\{ (1-\theta)(\widehat{a} + \varepsilon)\lambda - \widehat{b}_1(r) \frac{m}{r} - (1+\theta)\overline{c}_3 \frac{m^2}{r^2} \right\} |v|^2 dv_g \\
& \geq 2 \int_x^\infty \left\{ (1-\theta)(\widehat{a} + \varepsilon)\lambda - \widehat{b}_1(s) \frac{m}{s} - (1+\theta)\overline{c}_3 \frac{m^2}{s^2} \right\} s^{-2m} ds \int_{B(s, \infty)} |v|^2 dv_g \\
& \geq 2 \left\{ (1-\theta)(\widehat{a} + \varepsilon)\lambda - \widehat{b}_1(x) \frac{m}{x} - (1+\theta)\overline{c}_3 \frac{m^2}{x^2} \right\} \int_x^\infty s^{-2m} ds \int_{B(s, \infty)} |v|^2 dv_g
\end{aligned} \tag{17}$$

for $m \geq m_1$. Substitution of the equation in Lemma 3.2 into (17) makes

$$\begin{aligned}
& - \frac{1}{2} \frac{d}{dx} \left(x^{1-2m} \int_{S(x)} |v|^2 dA \right) - \frac{1}{2} \int_{S(x)} r^{-2m} \{ 2m - 1 - r\Delta r \} |v|^2 dA \\
& - \int_{B(x, \infty)} r^{1-2m} \left\{ 2 \left(\frac{\partial v}{\partial r} \right)^2 + \frac{2-\varepsilon}{r} \frac{\partial v}{\partial r} v + 2 \frac{\alpha}{r} |v|^2 \right\} dv_g \\
& \geq 2 \left\{ (1-\theta)(\widehat{a} + \varepsilon)\lambda - \widehat{b}_1(x) \frac{m}{x} - (1+\theta)\overline{c}_3 \frac{m^2}{x^2} \right\} \int_x^\infty s^{-2m} ds \int_{B(s, \infty)} |v|^2 dv_g.
\end{aligned}$$

Taking the following three inequalities into account

$$\begin{aligned}
& 2 \left(\frac{\partial v}{\partial r} \right)^2 + \frac{2-\varepsilon}{r} \frac{\partial v}{\partial r} v + 2 \frac{\alpha}{r} |v|^2 \geq \frac{1}{r} \left\{ 2\alpha - \frac{(2-\varepsilon)^2}{8r} \right\} |v|^2 \geq 0 \\
& \text{for } r > r_1 = \frac{(2-\varepsilon)^2}{16\alpha}; \\
& 2m - 1 - r\Delta r \geq 2m - \widehat{b} - 1 \geq 2(1-\theta)m \quad \text{for } m \geq m_2 = \frac{\widehat{b} + 1}{\theta}; \\
& \theta > \widehat{b}_1(r) (> 0) \quad \text{for } r \geq r_2 = r_2(b_1, \theta),
\end{aligned}$$

we have for any $m > m_3 = \max\{m_1, m_2\}$ and $x > r_3 := \max\{r_1, r_2\}$

$$\begin{aligned}
& - \frac{1}{2} \frac{d}{dx} \left(x^{1-2m} \int_{S(x)} |v|^2 dA \right) - \frac{(1-\theta)m}{x} \left(x^{1-2m} \int_{S(x)} |v|^2 dA \right) \\
& \geq 2 \left\{ (1-\theta)(\widehat{a} + \varepsilon)\lambda - \theta \frac{m}{x} - (1+\theta)\overline{c}_3 \frac{m^2}{x^2} \right\} \int_x^\infty s^{-2m} ds \int_{B(s, \infty)} |v|^2 dv_g. \tag{18}
\end{aligned}$$

Now, for $m > m_3$ and $x > r_3$, we shall set

$$\frac{m}{x} = \frac{-\theta + \sqrt{\theta^2 + 4(1+\theta)\overline{c}_3(1-\theta)(\widehat{a} + \varepsilon)\lambda}}{2(1+\theta)\overline{c}_3} =: \overline{c}_6 > 0 \tag{19}$$

and

$$F(x) = x^{1-2m} \int_{S(x)} |v|^2 dA = x \int_{S(x)} |u|^2 dA.$$

Then,

$$(1 - \theta)(\widehat{a} + \varepsilon)\lambda - \theta \bar{c}_6 - (1 + \theta)\bar{c}_3 \bar{c}_6^2 = 0,$$

and hence, the inequality (18) implies that

$$F'(x) + 2(1 - \theta)\bar{c}_6 F(x) \leq 0 \quad \text{for } x \geq r_3. \quad (20)$$

If we set $G(x) = e^{2(1-\theta)\bar{c}_6 x} F(x)$, (20) reduces to

$$G(x)' \leq 0 \quad \text{for } x \geq r_3.$$

Thus, $G(x) \leq G(r_2)$ for $x \geq r_3$, that is,

$$F(x) = x \int_{S(x)} |u|^2 dA \leq e^{-2(1-\theta)\bar{c}_6 x} F(r_2) e^{\bar{c}_6 r_2} \quad \text{for } x \geq r_3. \quad (21)$$

Now, in view of (9), (10), (16), and (19), we see that (21) implies that

$$\int_{B(r_0, \infty)} e^{\eta r} |u|^2 dv_g < \infty \quad \text{for any } 0 < \eta < \eta_1(a, b, n)\sqrt{\lambda}. \quad (22)$$

Next, we shall show that Proposition 3.1 and (22) yield

$$\int_{B(r_0, \infty)} e^{\eta r} |\nabla u|^2 dv_g < \infty \quad \text{for any } 0 < \eta < \eta_1(a, b, n)\sqrt{\lambda}.$$

For that purpose, first consider the integral

$$g(R) = 2 \int_{B(r_0, R)} e^{\eta r} u \frac{\partial u}{\partial r} dv_g.$$

Then, Green's formula yields

$$\begin{aligned} g(R) &= \frac{1}{\eta} \int_{B(r_0, R)} \langle \nabla(e^{\eta r}), \nabla(u^2) \rangle dv_g \\ &= \frac{1}{\eta} \left(\int_{S(R)} - \int_{S(r_0)} \right) e^{\eta r} |u|^2 dA - \int_{B(r_0, R)} (\Delta r + \eta) e^{\eta r} |u|^2 dv_g. \end{aligned}$$

Since $\lim_{r \rightarrow \infty} \Delta r = 0$, (22) implies the existence of the limit, $\lim_{R \rightarrow \infty} g(R)$. In particular,

$$\liminf_{R \rightarrow \infty} e^{\eta R} \left| \int_{S(R)} u \frac{\partial u}{\partial r} dA \right| = 0. \quad (23)$$

In Proposition 3.1, we put $\rho = 0$ and $\psi = e^{\eta r}$. Then $v = u$, $q = \lambda$, and

$$\begin{aligned} & \int_{B(r_0, R)} \{ |\nabla u|^2 - \lambda |u|^2 \} e^{\eta r} dv_g \\ &= \left(\int_{S(R)} - \int_{S(r_0)} \right) \frac{\partial u}{\partial r} u e^{\eta r} dA - \eta \int_{B(r_0, R)} e^{\eta r} \frac{\partial u}{\partial r} u dv_g \\ &\leq \left(\int_{S(R)} - \int_{S(r_0)} \right) \frac{\partial u}{\partial r} u e^{\eta r} dA + \frac{1}{2} \int_{B(r_0, R)} e^{\eta r} \{ |\nabla u|^2 + \eta^2 |u|^2 \} dv_g. \end{aligned}$$

Hence,

$$\begin{aligned} & \frac{1}{2} \int_{B(r_0, R)} e^{\eta r} |\nabla u|^2 dv_g \\ & \leq \left(\int_{S(R)} - \int_{S(r_0)} \right) \frac{\partial u}{\partial r} u e^{\eta r} dA + \int_{B(r_0, R)} \left\{ \frac{\eta^2}{2} + \lambda \right\} e^{\eta r} |u|^2 dv_g. \end{aligned}$$

Therefore, (22) and (23) imply that

$$\int_{B(r_0, \infty)} e^{\eta r} |\nabla u|^2 dv_g < \infty \quad \text{for any } 0 < \eta < \eta_1(a, b, n) \sqrt{\lambda}. \quad (24)$$

Thus, from (22) and (24), we get our desired result. $\square$

6. VANISHING ON SOME NEIGHBORHOOD OF INFINITY

Proposition 6.1. *Under the assumptions of Proposition 3.1, $u \equiv 0$ on $B(r_0, \infty)$.*

Proof. In proposition 3.2, we shall put

$$\begin{aligned} \gamma &= 1; \\ \rho(r) &= kr^\theta \quad (k \geq 1, \theta \in (0, 1)) \end{aligned}$$

and choose ε so that (6) holds. Then, from

$$\begin{aligned} -\frac{\partial(\Delta r)}{\partial r} &= |\nabla dr|^2 + \text{Ric}(\nabla r, \nabla r) \geq \frac{\widehat{a}a}{r^2} - \frac{\widehat{b}_1(r)}{r}; \\ \widehat{a} &\leq r\Delta r \leq \widehat{b}, \end{aligned} \quad (*_9)$$

we get

$$v = e^{kr^\theta} u; \quad (25)$$

$$\begin{aligned} q &= \lambda - \rho''(r) - \rho'(r)\Delta r + (\rho'(r))^2 \\ &= \lambda + k\theta(1-\theta)r^{\theta-2} - k\theta r^{\theta-1}\Delta r + k^2\theta^2 r^{2\theta-2} \end{aligned} \quad (26)$$

$$\geq \lambda + k\theta \left\{ 1 - \theta - \widehat{b} \right\} r^{\theta-2} + k^2\theta^2 r^{2\theta-2};$$

$$\begin{aligned} r \frac{\partial q}{\partial r} &= -k\theta(1-\theta)(2-\theta)r^{\theta-2} + k\theta(1-\theta)r^{\theta-1}\Delta r \\ &\quad - k\theta r^\theta \frac{\partial(\Delta r)}{\partial r} - 2k^2\theta^2(1-\theta)r^{2\theta-2} \\ &\geq -k\theta(1-\theta)(2-\theta)r^{\theta-2} + k\theta(1-\theta)\widehat{a}r^{\theta-2} \\ &\quad + k\theta\widehat{a}r^{\theta-2} - k\theta r^{\theta-1}\widehat{b}_1(r) - 2k^2\theta^2(1-\theta)r^{2\theta-2} \\ &= -k\theta r^{\theta-1}\widehat{b}_1(r) + k\theta \{ -(1-\theta)(2-\theta-\widehat{a}) + \widehat{a}a \} r^{\theta-2} - 2k^2\theta^2(1-\theta)r^{2\theta-2}. \end{aligned}$$

In addition,

$$r\Delta r + \varepsilon \geq \widehat{a} + \varepsilon > 0.$$

Therefore,

$$\begin{aligned}
& r \frac{\partial q}{\partial r} + q(r\Delta r + \varepsilon) \\
& \geq -k\theta r^{\theta-1} \widehat{b}_1(r) + k\theta \{-(1-\theta)(2-\theta-\widehat{a}) + \widehat{a}a\} r^{\theta-2} - 2k^2\theta^2(1-\theta)r^{2\theta-2} \\
& \quad + \left\{ \lambda + k\theta(1-\theta-\widehat{b})r^{\theta-2} + k^2\theta^2r^{2\theta-2} \right\} (\widehat{a} + \varepsilon) \\
& = (\widehat{a} + \varepsilon) \lambda - k\theta r^{\theta-1} \widehat{b}_1(r) + k\theta r^{\theta-2} \left\{ (1-\theta)(-2+\theta+2\widehat{a}+\varepsilon) + \widehat{a}a - \widehat{b}(\widehat{a} + \varepsilon) \right\} \\
& \quad + k^2\theta^2r^{2\theta-2} \{ \widehat{a} + \varepsilon - 2(1-\theta) \} \\
& = (\widehat{a} + \varepsilon) \lambda - k\theta r^{\theta-1} \widehat{b}_1(r) + k\theta r^{\theta-2} c_7 + k^2\theta^2r^{2\theta-2} c_8
\end{aligned} \tag{27}$$

and

$$1 - \frac{1}{2}(r\Delta r + \varepsilon) + 2r\rho'(r) \geq 2k\theta r^\theta + 1 - \frac{1}{2}(\widehat{b} + \varepsilon) = 2k\theta r^\theta + c_9; \tag{28}$$

$$(1-\varepsilon)k\theta r^{\theta-1} \frac{\partial v}{\partial r} v \geq -\{2k\theta r^\theta + c_9\} \left(\frac{\partial v}{\partial r} \right)^2 - \frac{(1-\varepsilon)^2 k^2 \theta^2 r^{2\theta-2}}{2k\theta r^\theta + c_9} |v|^2, \tag{29}$$

where we set

$$\begin{aligned}
c_7 &= (1-\theta)(-2+\theta+2\widehat{a}+\varepsilon) + \widehat{a}a - \widehat{b}(\widehat{a} + \varepsilon); \\
c_8 &= \widehat{a} + \varepsilon - 2(1-\theta); \\
c_9 &= 1 - \frac{1}{2}(\widehat{b} + \varepsilon)
\end{aligned}$$

for simplicity. Since $\widehat{a} - \varepsilon > 0$, we can choose $\theta \in (0, 1)$ so that

$$c_8 = \widehat{a} - \varepsilon - 2(1-\theta) > 0. \tag{30}$$

Then, from (27), (28), and (29), we see that

$$\begin{aligned}
& \frac{1}{2} \left\{ r \frac{\partial q}{\partial r} + q(r\Delta r + \varepsilon) \right\} |v|^2 + (1-\varepsilon)\rho'(r) \frac{\partial v}{\partial r} v \\
& \quad + \left\{ 1 - \frac{1}{2}(r\Delta r + \varepsilon) + 2r\rho'(r) \right\} \left(\frac{\partial v}{\partial r} \right)^2 \\
& \geq \frac{1}{2} \left\{ (\widehat{a} + \varepsilon) \lambda - k\theta r^{\theta-1} \widehat{b}_1(r) + k^2\theta^2r^{2\theta-2} \left(c_8 + \frac{c_7}{k\theta r^\theta} - \frac{(1-\varepsilon)^2}{2(2k\theta r^\theta + c_9)} \right) \right\} |v|^2.
\end{aligned} \tag{31}$$

Thus, in view of (30), there exists a constant $r_6(a, b, \varepsilon, \theta) > r_0$ depending only on a, b, ε , and θ such that

$$c_8 + \frac{c_7}{k\theta r^\theta} - \frac{(1-\varepsilon)^2}{2(2k\theta r^\theta + c_9)} \geq \frac{c_8}{2} := c_9$$

for any $k \geq 1$ and $r \geq r_6$. Since $\lim_{r \rightarrow \infty} b_1(r) = 0$, we have

$$(\widehat{a} + \varepsilon) \lambda - y \widehat{b}_1(r) + y^2 c_9 = c_9 \left(y - \frac{\widehat{b}_1(r)}{2c_9} \right)^2 + \frac{4(\widehat{a} + \varepsilon) \lambda c_9 - \widehat{b}_1(r)^2}{4c_9} > 0$$

for any $r \geq r_7(a, \lambda, \varepsilon)$ and $y \in \mathbf{R}$. Therefore, the right hand side of (31) is nonnegative for any $k \geq 1$ and $r \geq r_8 := \max\{r_6, r_7\}$. Thus, we have for any $k \geq 1$ and

$t > s \geq r_8$

$$\begin{aligned} & \int_{S(t)} r \left\{ \left(\frac{\partial v}{\partial r} \right)^2 + \frac{1}{2} q |v|^2 - \frac{1}{2} |\nabla v|^2 + \frac{1-\varepsilon}{2r} \frac{\partial v}{\partial r} v \right\} dA \\ & + \int_{S(s)} r \left\{ \frac{1}{2} |\nabla v|^2 - \frac{1}{2} q |v|^2 - \left(\frac{\partial v}{\partial r} \right)^2 - \frac{1-\varepsilon}{2r} \frac{\partial v}{\partial r} v \right\} dA \geq 0. \end{aligned} \quad (32)$$

By bearing (25) in mind, we see that Proposition 5.1 implies that

$$\liminf_{t \rightarrow \infty} \int_{S(t)} r \{ |\nabla v|^2 + |v|^2 \} dA = 0.$$

Hence, substituting an appropriate divergent sequence $\{t_i\}$ for t in (32), and letting $t_i \rightarrow \infty$, we see that

$$\int_{S(s)} \left\{ - \left(\frac{\partial v}{\partial r} \right)^2 + \frac{1}{2} |\nabla v|^2 - \frac{1}{2} q |v|^2 - \frac{1-\varepsilon}{2r} \frac{\partial v}{\partial r} v \right\} dA \geq 0 \quad (33)$$

for all $s \geq r_8$ and $k \geq 1$. On account of the facts

$$\begin{aligned} \left(\frac{\partial v}{\partial r} \right)^2 &= \left\{ k^2 \theta^2 r^{2\theta-2} |u|^2 + 2k\theta r^{\theta-1} \frac{\partial u}{\partial r} u + \left(\frac{\partial u}{\partial r} \right)^2 \right\} e^{2kr^\theta}, \\ |\nabla v|^2 &= \left\{ k^2 \theta^2 r^{2\theta-2} |u|^2 + 2k\theta r^{\theta-1} \frac{\partial u}{\partial r} u + |\nabla u|^2 \right\} e^{2kr^\theta}, \end{aligned}$$

and (26), the left hand side of (32) is written as follows:

$$\{ k^2 I_1(s) + k I_2(s) + I_3(s) \} e^{2kr^\theta},$$

where

$$I_1(s) = -\theta^2 s^{2\theta-2} \int_{S(s)} |u|^2 dA;$$

$I_2(s)$ and $I_3(s)$ is independent of k . Thus, for any fixed $s \geq r_8$, the inequality $k^2 I_1(s) + k I_2(s) + I_3(s) \geq 0$ holds for all $k \geq 1$. Therefore, $I_1(s) = 0$ for any fixed $s \geq r_8$, that is, $u \equiv 0$ on $B(r_8, \infty)$. The unique continuation theorem implies that $u \equiv 0$ on $E = M - \overline{U}$. $\square$

We obtain Theorem 1.1 from this Proposition 6.1.

Proof of Theorem 1.2

Under the assumptions of Theorem 1.2, $\lim_{r \rightarrow \infty} \Delta = 0$, and hence, $\sigma_{\text{ess}}(-\Delta) = [0, \infty)$ (see [15]). If $\lambda > 0$ is an eigenvalue of $-\Delta$ and u is an corresponding eigenfunction, then $u, \nabla u \in L^2(M, dv_g)$, in particular, $u, \nabla u \in L^2(E, dv_g)$. However, $(*_5)$ and Theorem 1.1 implies that (1) with $\gamma = 1$ holds:

$$\liminf_{t \rightarrow \infty} t \int_{S(t)} \left\{ \left(\frac{\partial u}{\partial r} \right)^2 + |u|^2 \right\} dA \neq 0$$

Therefore, there exist positive constants c_{10} and r_9 such that

$$t \int_{S(t)} \left\{ \left(\frac{\partial u}{\partial r} \right)^2 + |u|^2 \right\} dA > c_{10} \quad \text{for } t \geq r_9.$$

Hence, dividing the both sides of this inequality by t and integrating it with respect to t over $[r_9, \infty)$, we get

$$\int_{B(r_9, \infty)} \left\{ \left(\frac{\partial u}{\partial r} \right)^2 + |u|^2 \right\} dA > \int_{r_9}^{\infty} \frac{c_{10}}{t} = +\infty.$$

This contradicts the fact that $u, \nabla u \in L^2(E, dv_g)$. Hence, $\sigma_p(-\Delta) = \emptyset$. Thus, we have proved Theorem 1.2.

Theorem 1.3 and 1.4 are obtained by using the comparison theorem in Riemannian geometry, that is, Proposition 2.1 and Proposition 2.2.

7. REMARKS

In our theorems, we assume that there exists an open subset U of M with compact boundary ∂U such that the outward pointing normal exponential map $\exp_{\partial U} : N^+(\partial U) \rightarrow M - \overline{U}$ induces a diffeomorphism. This condition is not essential. What matters is rather the existence of a function with special properties, such as r . The readers interested in this matter could pick up necessary conditions that should be satisfied by such a function from our proof above. We note that there are Donnelly's works ([5], [6]) from this viewpoint of an exhaustion function of M .

Our arguments are also applicable for the case that the metric of an end is a warped product. This case is discussed in [18].

REFERENCES

- [1] F. V. Atkinson, *The asymptotic solution of second order differential equations*, Ann. Math. Pura. Appl., **37** (1954), 347–378.
- [2] H. Donnelly, *Eigenvalues embedded in the continuum for negatively curved manifolds*, Michigan Math. J., **28** (1981), 53–62.
- [3] H. Donnelly, *Negative curvature and embedded eigenvalues*, Math. Z., **203** (1990), 301–308.
- [4] H. Donnelly, *Embedded eigenvalues for asymptotically flat surfaces*, Proceeding Symposia in Pure Mathematics, **54** (1993), Part 3, 169–177.
- [5] H. Donnelly, *Exhaustion functions and the spectrum of Riemannian manifolds*, Indiana Univ. Math. J. **46** (1997), 505–528.
- [6] H. Donnelly, *Spectrum of the Laplacian on asymptotically Euclidean spaces*, Michigan Math. J. **46** (1999), 101–111.
- [7] H. Donnelly and N. Garofalo, *Riemannian manifolds whose Laplacian have purely continuous spectrum*, Math. Ann., **293** (1992), 143–161.
- [8] D. M. Eidus, *The principle of limit amplitude*, Russian Math. Surveys., **24** (1969), no. 3, 97–167.
- [9] J. Escobar, *On the spectrum of the Laplacian on complete Riemannian manifolds*, Comm. Partial Differential Equations, **11** (1986), 63–85.
- [10] J. Escobar and A. Freire, *The spectrum of the Laplacian of manifolds of positive curvature*, Duke Math. J., **65** (1992), 1–21.
- [11] R. Green and H. Wu, *Gap theorems for noncompact Riemannian manifolds*, Duke Math. J., **49** (1981), 731–756.
- [12] L. Karp, *Noncompact manifolds with purely continuous spectrum*, Mich. Math. J., **31** (1984), 339–347.
- [13] A. Kasue, *Applications of Laplacian and Hessian comparison theorems*, Geometry of geodesics and related topics (Tokyo, 1982), 333–386, Adv. Stud. Pure Math., **3**.
- [14] T. Kato, *Growth properties of solutions of the reduced wave equation with a variable coefficient*, Comm. Pure Appl. Math., **12** (1959), 403–426.
- [15] H. Kumura, *On the essential spectrum of the Laplacian on complete manifolds*, J. Math. Soc. Japan, **49** (1997), 1–14.

- [16] H. Kumura, *A note on the absence of eigenvalues on negatively curved manifolds*, Kyushu J. Math., **56** (2002), 109–121.
- [17] H. Kumura, *The radial curvature of an end that makes eigenvalues vanish in the essential spectrum I*, arXiv:math/0505557, preprint.
- [18] H. Kumura, *Perturbation of a warped product metric of an end and the growth property of solutions to eigenvalue equation*, in preparation.
- [19] K. Mochizuki, *Growth properties of solutions of second order elliptic differential equations*, J. Math. Kyoto Univ., **16** (1976), 351–373.
- [20] M. A. Pinsky, *Spectrum of the Laplacian on a manifold of negative curvature II*, J. Differential Geometry, **14** (1979), 609–620.
- [21] S. N. Roze, *On the spectrum of an elliptic operator of second order*, Math. USSR. Sb., **9** (1969), 183–197.
- [22] T. Tayoshi, *On the spectrum of the Laplace-Beltrami operator on a non-compact surface*, Proc. Japan. Acad., **47** (1971), 187–189.

Hironori Kumura
 Department of Mathematics
 Shizuoka University
 Ohya, Shizuoka 422-8529
 Japan
 E-mail address: smhkumu@ipc.shizuoka.ac.jp